UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/608,535 | 06/30/2003 | Jim Wei | 14386 | 8251 | |
| 293 7590 01/25/2007 Ralph A. Dowell of DOWELL & DOWELL P.C. 2111 Eisenhower Ave Suite 406 Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | KALAFUT, STEPHEN J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Alexandra, VI | . 22311 | 1745 | | | |
| GUODIENED STATISTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | Y MODE | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | 1 PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | | | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 01/25/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | | | /_ | | | |
|--|--|--|--|------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| • | | 10/608,535 | WEI, JIM | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Stephen J. Kalafut | 1745 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence addres | is | | | |
| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL | VIS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH | 1/6/ UD THIBTA (30/ D | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. imely filed on the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 C | October 2006. | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | • | • | • | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-46 and 48-51</u> is/are pending in | the application. | | | | | |
| ,— | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-26, 28-32, 34-36, | | drawn from considerat | ion. | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14,16-19,21,22,27,33,37 and 3</u> | 89 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) <u>15 and 20</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on 26 October 2006 is/are | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte | d to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | * * * | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | | | | | | |
| , — | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| - | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110/s | a)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | r priority direct oo o.o.o. g 110(c | 1)-(d) or (i). | • | | | |
| <i>ـ</i> , | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | , | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | • | tion No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | ority documents have been receiv | ed in this National Stag | ge | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receiv | ed. | • | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [| | | | | |
| 3) Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal | | | | | |
| Pape | er No(s)/Mail Date | 6) [_] Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1745

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9-14, 16-19, 21, 22, 27, 33, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surampudi *et al.* (US 2001/0050230) in view of Rock (US 6,699,614), for reasons of record applied to original claims 7 and 9, and incorporating reasons applied to original claims 1 and 39.

Claims 4, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surampudi *et al.* in view of Rock as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Leger *et al.* (US 6,686,082).

Claims 15 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The apparatus with the features of claim 1, and also including curved or staggered protrusions is not taught by the prior art of record.

Claims 23-26, 28-32, 34-36, 38, 40-46 and 48-51 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 27 April 2006.

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant's arguments filed 26 October 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that, "the combination of Surampudi *et al.* and Rock lacks a reasonable expectation of success". According to applicant, the distribution or receiving areas of Rock combined with the fuel chamber and output conduit of Surampudi *et al.* would not improve gas equalization, because it would produce turbulence due to the lateral entry direction of fuel into the fuel supply chamber of Surampudi *et al.*, which would result in an unequal pressure on the nozzles into which the fuel flows from the supply chamber. This is not persuasive because teaches that turbulence "forms a more even flow" of fuel (section 0140). Thus, if applicant is correct and the incorporation of the distribution area of Rock into the fuel supply chamber of Surampudi *et al.* produces turbulence, this is taught by Surampudi *et al.* to have a beneficial effect. This would thus provide a reasonable expectation of success.

Applicant argues that Surampudi et al. discloses a gas flow grid, while Leger et al. disclose gas flow channels (emphasis in applicant's remarks), and that one would have to choose between either a grid or channels, each of them defeating the function of the other. This is not persuasive because the spaces between the islands of Surampudi et al. are themselves channels, which intersect between the columns and rows of islands. The islands would still thus be able to produce the desired turbulence when the channels between them are given a trapezium shape.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,535

Art Unit: 1745

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

This application contains claims 23-26, 28-32, 34-36, 38, 40-46 and 48-51 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 27 April 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,535 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjk

CROUP 1700